

Lex Eloquentia

Igniting the flame of advocacy through Crucible of Mooting

VOL.2 | ISSUE 1 | JANUARY - DECEMBER 2024

AUTUMN EDITION

Welcome to the 2024 edition of *Lex Eloquentia*, the second edition our Law College Newsletter dedicated to celebrating the outstanding achievements of our moot court teams in the fall of the year. Each event is a chronicle of unfailing endeavours of the participating students and a testament of their hard work, passion, and determination. Join us as we honour their journey and look ahead to future milestones.



ABOUT OUR KLEF COLLEGE OF LAW

Nestled in the heart of Green Fields, Vaddeswaram Campus, KLEF College of Law is not only the primary centre of legal education in the region but also a rendezvous for legal community, driven by intellectual curiosity, passion for experiential learning and outcome-based legal education. The college houses a family of peer learners aiming to secure and impart legal education, research and justice driven discourse. The community is actively engaged in academic and extra-curricular activities including, but not limited to debates, seminars, essay competitions, moot courts, mock trials, legal aid clinic and development of case materials.



FROM THE EDITOR'S DESK

Dear readers,

It is with immense pride that we present the second edition of Lex Eloquentia, dedicated exclusively to the remarkable achievements of our students in moot court competitions in the fall of 2024. The edition frescoes the grandeur of their stellar performances, projects the stories of their dedication and canvasses the magnificence of their persistence. This edition celebrates their dedication to the art of advocacy and their consistent pursuit of excellence in national and international platforms. We have ensured that all the competitions during the fall are not only documented but also sketched so that those memories could be saved for the times to come.

We hope this issue inspires and encourages future advocates to carry forward the legacy of success and commitment that defines our institution.

*Warm regards,
Editorial Team, Lex Eloquentia*

FROM THE PRINCIPAL'S DESK



Dr. K.I Pavan Kumar
Principal
KLEF College of Law, KL University

*It gives me immense pleasure to introduce the second edition of *Lex Eloquentia*, a testament to the brilliance, hard work, and dedication of our students. This edition beautifully chronicles their achievements in moot court competitions, highlighting their passion for advocacy and their perseverance in reaching new heights of excellence.*

I extend my heartfelt appreciation to the editorial team for their relentless efforts in curating this remarkable publication. Your hard work and commitment have ensured that this edition truly reflects the spirit and accomplishments of our students.

May this issue not only celebrate our successes but also inspire future advocates to carry forward the legacy of excellence and dedication that defines our institution.



PROLOGUE

Dear Esteemed Law College Community,

Welcome to the latest edition of our Law College Newsletter! It is with great excitement that we present this platform as a tribute to the remarkable achievements of our students and their outstanding performances in both national and international moot court competitions. This newsletter serves as a window into the world of advocacy, where our teams have consistently demonstrated unparalleled intellectual prowess and a fierce dedication to the law.

We are proud to highlight the accomplishments of our talented students, who have not only represented our Law College in various prestigious competitions during July to December 2024 session but have also brought home significant accolades. Their exceptional legal acumen, advocacy skills, and unwavering commitment to excellence have earned the admiration of judges and participants alike. In this edition, we share the most memorable moments from each event, showcasing the triumphs and milestones that have marked this journey.

Within these pages, you will find inspiring stories of passion, preparation, and perseverance. Each narrative unveils the journey of our teams as they navigated the complexities of simulated courtrooms, honing their legal skills, enhancing their analytical thinking, and sharpening their persuasive abilities. These experiences have not only equipped them with valuable insights into the art of courtroom advocacy but also prepared them to excel in real-world legal challenges.

As you read through these captivating stories, you will witness the transformation of our aspiring lawyers into formidable advocates. From the countless hours of rigorous research and practice sessions to the personal and professional growth that accompanied each competition, our students have exemplified the true spirit of dedication. These experiences have taught them resilience, teamwork, and the importance of pursuing justice with integrity.

Behind every achievement lies the tireless support of our esteemed faculty advisors, coaches, and mentors. Their guidance has been pivotal in nurturing the talents of our students, empowering them to reach their fullest potential. We extend our deepest gratitude to them for their unwavering commitment to the success of our students.

As we celebrate the achievements of our teams, we invite you to immerse yourself in the world of moot court advocacy. Through these stories, we hope to inspire future generations of legal minds to embrace the challenges and rewards of courtroom advocacy. This newsletter is not only a reflection of our students' hard work and dedication but also a tribute to the transformative power of legal education.

Welcome to a world where intellectual rigour meets persuasive advocacy, and where every challenge is an opportunity for growth. Join us as we celebrate the incredible accomplishments of our students and look forward to the next chapter in their journey.

PENDEKANTI VENKATA SUBBAIAH MEMORIAL NATIONAL MOOT COURT COMPETITION 2024



Team Composition

S. Ganesh Nanda (Oralist) – 5th year BBA.LLB

Shaik Akbar (Oralist) – 5th year BBA.LLB

Y. Rupa Anjanasree (Researcher) – 5th year BBA.LLB

Event details

The prestigious Pendekanti Venkata Subbaiah Memorial National Moot Court Competition 2024 is scheduled to take place on the **9th and 10th of November** at the esteemed Pendekanti Law College, located in Chikkadpally, Hyderabad.



About Moot Court Problem

Mr. Sujay, a psychiatrist and horror-fiction writer, authored a crime thriller, *Hatya*, based on his clinical experiences, and promoted it through a website featuring AI-generated content. The graphic and explicit summary of the book sparked public outrage, leading child rights activist Mr. Pranay to report the website, resulting in its blocking under Section 69A of the IT Act and criminal charges against Sujay and the hosting service, M/s. Aphrodite Tech. While the High Court acquitted them, stating the content was not obscene but a professional depiction of criminal depravity, Sujay faced defamatory remarks on social media and sought relief under his Right to Privacy and Right to be Forgotten. The High Court dismissed his plea, and the State and Sujay have now approached the Supreme Court to resolve the legal and constitutional issues.

PENDEKANTI VENKATA SUBBAIAH MEMORIAL NATIONAL MOOT COURT COMPETITION 2024

Approach and Strategies

The team participating in the Pendekanti Venkata Subbaiah National Moot Court Competition, 2024, adopts a strategic approach characterized by clear organization, anticipation of counter arguments and reliance on prestigious scholarly research. They emphasize the lack of statutory support for the right to be forgotten, referencing the Gujarat High Court's observations in the Dharamraj Dave case, while also drawing on significant works such as "The Right to be Forgotten: A Comparative Analysis" by Paul M. Schwartz and "Privacy, Data Protection, and Cybersecurity in Europe" by David Wright. Additionally, the team bolsters their arguments with references to contemporary frameworks, including the National Institute of Standards and Technology's AI Risk Management Framework, and legal analyses from journals like the Harvard Law Review. This reliance on authoritative sources not only enhances the credibility of their arguments but also situates their discussions within the broader context of ongoing legal and technological debates.

Achievement

The team was awarded the **Best Memorial Award** in recognition of their exceptional legal research, clarity of argumentation and overall presentation. Their approach demonstrated a profound understanding of complex legal issues, particularly regarding the right to be forgotten and effectively utilized authoritative sources to support their claims. The team's ability to anticipate counter arguments and address them with well-reasoned responses showcased their analytical skills and depth of knowledge. This accolade not only highlights their hard work and dedication but also positions them as formidable competitors in the realm of legal advocacy, reflecting their commitment to excellence in legal scholarship and argumentation.





Team Composition

A.Ashritha (Oralist) – 2nd year BBA.LLB
S.Sai Pranitha(Oralist) – 2nd year BBA.LLB
Ch.Susmitha (Researcher) – 2nd year BBA.LLB

Event details

The esteemed K.K. Luthra Memorial Moot Court Competition 2024, India's only international criminal law moot, was held from the **19th to the 21st of January** in offline mode at the renowned Campus Law Centre, University of Delhi, Delhi.



About Moot Court Problem

The case revolves around the tragic death of Mrs. Penny Wats, a Kretan national and Secretary to the Ferrwan Ambassador, during the preparations for King Hassan of Ferrwa's visit to Kret. After her sudden disappearance on December 23, 2023, investigations revealed a sinister plot involving classified information leaks, international tensions, and murder within the Ferrwan Embassy. Detective Inspector P.V. Bain uncovered evidence pointing to Mr. J.J. Crook, the Ambassador's personal bodyguard, who confessed to the crime following advanced forensic techniques. The case escalates as Mr. Crook challenges his detention and the legal proceedings in the Superior Court of Kret, invoking significant diplomatic and judicial deliberations.

Approach and Strategies

The team employed a strategic approach that effectively integrated established international law principles, particularly those outlined in the Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations. They emphasized the inviolability of diplomatic premises and the necessity of obtaining prior consent for investigations, drawing on authoritative sources from prestigious journals such as the Harvard International Law Journal and the American Journal of International Law. This foundation allowed the team to construct compelling arguments regarding diplomatic immunity and the integrity of judicial processes.

Remarkably, the team dedicated five months of meticulous preparation to this moot while simultaneously managing their other academic activities with exceptional efficiency. This dedication reflects their commitment to balancing rigorous academic responsibilities with the demands of competitive mooting.

Additionally, they adopted a pragmatic perspective by addressing public safety concerns and the admissibility of evidence, referencing contemporary legal analyses from the Journal of Criminal Law & Criminology and the International Journal of Evidence and Proof. By engaging with high-caliber legal scholarship, the team not only highlighted the tension between diplomatic protections and law enforcement imperatives but also enhanced the credibility and depth of their arguments, showcasing their thorough research and analytical skills.

Level reached

The team participated in a challenging competition featuring 72 teams from across the globe. Despite not qualifying for the memorial round due to the intense competition, their hard work and dedication were recognized, earning them the **Best Researcher Award** from KL University—a testament to their exceptional analytical and research skills.





Team Composition

A.Ashritha (Oralist) – 3rd BBA.LLB
S.Sai Pranitha(Oralist) – 3rd BBA.LLB
Ch.Susmitha(Researcher) – 3rd BBA.LLB

Event details

The 12th UFYLC-Ranka National Moot Court Competition took place from **October 5th to 7th, 2024**, hosted by the University Five Year Law College at the esteemed University of Rajasthan. This prestigious event, organized by the Ranka Charitable Trust.



About Moot Court Problem

Flanking & Flamingo Pharmaceutical Pvt. Ltd., a pharmaceutical company incorporated in 2015, faced internal conflicts after changing its raw material vendor in 2019, leading to disagreements among the directors. Mr. Quinten D'souza, who had resigned but remained involved with the Company's Special Purpose Vehicle (SPV) ships, was charged after these ships were seized in 2023 while transporting illicit cargo. All three directors were convicted under the Anaesthetic and Opiate Drugs Act, 1985. The High Court upheld the conviction, and the case is now before the Supreme Court, which must address issues of jurisdiction, the liability of the directors, and the Company under the AOD Act.

Approach and Strategies

The teams representing the Appellant and Respondent in the 12th UFVLC Ranka National Moot Court Competition adopted a strategic approach that emphasized rigorous research and collaborative learning, particularly given that the law surrounding the NDPS Act, 1985, was relatively new to them. Through their participation in the moot, team members engaged deeply with the legal principles and nuances of this legislation, enhancing their understanding of its implications in the context of the case. They effectively utilized prestigious research works, including articles from the Yale Law Journal and other esteemed international law journals, to ground their arguments in authoritative legal scholarship, thereby bolstering their credibility before the court. On the Appellant's side, the team focused on highlighting procedural errors and the lack of evidence against Mr. D'Souza, showcasing their ability to navigate complex legal issues while advocating for due process. In contrast, on the Respondent's side concentrated on affirming the jurisdiction of the courts and the applicability of the NDPS Act, demonstrating their capacity to critically engage with the law and effectively counter the Appellant's claims. This experience not only facilitated their learning of new legal concepts but also underscored their commitment to presenting well-reasoned, persuasive arguments aimed at achieving a favorable outcome in the competition.

Level reached

The team successfully advanced to the **top 64 out of 82 teams**, despite not securing a spot in the top 8. Nevertheless, they received commendation from the judges for their exceptional performance. The oralists effectively answered every question, showcasing their expertise, while the memorial and research were also highly praised. The judges concluded with encouraging remarks about the team's future prospects and potential.



Team Composition



P. Nimisha (Oralist) – 3rd year BBA.LLB
E. Bhavitha(Oralist) – 3rd year BBA.LLB
A.V. Cherishma (Researcher) – 3rd year BBA.LLB

Event details

The annual event hosted by Rayat College of Law took place from the **18th to 20th of October 2024** at the Rayat Education and Research Trust, Ropar Campus, Punjab. The prestigious event featured various academic and practical legal activities, including Moot Court Competitions, client counseling, and seminars, providing an excellent platform for law students to enhance their legal skills.



About Moot Court Problem

The Prohibition of Unlawful Conversion of Religion Act, 2023, effective from January 1, 2024, aims to prevent religious conversions through force, undue influence, allurements, or fraudulent means, with strict provisions for prior declarations, mandatory inquiries, and penalties. Alex Sharma, a 25-year-old, decided to convert to Taylor Singh's religion before their marriage, complying with the Act's requirements. However, Alex's parents alleged that Taylor's family lured him with promises of employment, luxury, and gifts, which the district magistrate deemed credible, denying permission for the conversion and charging Alex and Taylor under Sections 3 and 10 of the Act. Their writ petition challenging the Act's constitutionality was dismissed by the High Court, prompting an appeal to the Supreme Court of Bharat. The case raises critical constitutional issues, including whether the Act infringes on the right to freedom of religion (Article 25), privacy and personal liberty (Article 21), and natural justice principles, while also questioning the extent to which the state can regulate religious conversions to prevent coercion without overstepping individual rights.

Approach and Strategies

The team adopted a strategic approach in their memorial submissions by focusing on the urgent need for regulatory measures pertaining to religious conversions, emphasizing protection against coercive practices while simultaneously advocating for individual rights and societal interests. This nuanced stance is evident through their references to notable legal framework and academic research, including the findings of the Law Commission of India in its 235th Report on Conversion/Reconversion to Another Religion, which highlights the importance of proof and inquiry in these matters. Furthermore, they drew on significant case law, such as the Supreme Court's ruling in *S. Pushpabai v. C.T. Selvaraj*, which reinforces the dignity of individual choice in religious matters. By integrating these prestigious sources, the team effectively illustrates a comprehensive understanding of the delicate balance between aiming for community welfare and upholding personal autonomy, thus fortifying their argument in favor of implementing the Prohibition of Unlawful Conversion of Religion Act, 2023.

Level reached

This is their first moot competition, and the team achieved an impressive milestone by qualifying for the **quarterfinals** after the video submission of the memorials round. Out of 60 participating teams, only 20 advanced to this stage. The judges commended their performance, acknowledging the difficulty in making a decision and expressing their admiration for such promising young advocates, wishing to see more of their talent in the future.

SARASWATHY LAW COLLEGE 2ND NATIONAL MOOT COURT COMPETITION, 2024

Team Composition

Shaik Samiya Sadafali (Oralist) – 3rd year BBA.LLB
Syed Arshil (Oralist) – 3rd year BBA.LLB
P. Rishitha (Researcher) – 3rd year BBA.LLB



Event details

The 2nd National Moot Court Competition 2024 was organized by Saraswathy Law College, Olakkur, Tindivanam, affiliated to Tamil Nadu Dr. Ambedkar Law University, Chennai, and approved by the Bar Council of India, New Delhi. The event, in collaboration with Legal Wolf Associates, took place from the **25th to the 27th of October 2024.**



About Moot Court Problem

This case revolves around Aravind, a permanent workman, and Bashir, a probationer, both holding leadership positions in the Workers Union at Cauvery Health Products Limited, a state-run pharmaceutical company in Lemuria. On 16th February 2024, both were caught attempting to take "not for sale" samples of hand sanitizers and masks, leading to misconduct charges for theft. Despite their claim of delayed distribution, a domestic enquiry was initiated but delayed due to their request for Union representation. The COVID-24 pandemic hit Lemuria, and the government imposed lockdown measures, including restrictions on public assemblies. On 10th April 2024, Aravind and Bashir, along with others, violated these restrictions by holding a union meeting, resulting in a charge-sheet for unlawful assembly.

In April 2024, Bashir's termination was based on unsatisfactory probation performance, while Aravind faced termination due to theft and unlawful assembly. Both challenged their dismissals in the Labour Court, which ruled in their favor, citing violations of natural justice. The employer appealed the decision in the High Court. Meanwhile, a registered association, along with Charles, sought to challenge the national lockdown and the conviction under Section 223 of the Lemurian Nyaya Sanhita in the Supreme Court of Lemuria. The Supreme Court transferred the writ petitions from the High Court to itself for common disposal.

Approach and Strategies

The team demonstrated a remarkably comprehensive and methodical approach to their memorial preparation, showcasing exceptional research depth across multiple legal domains. Their research strategy effectively combined primary sources, including extensive case law from various jurisdictions, with scholarly works and contemporary sources, particularly for pandemic-related arguments. The team's analytical strength is evident in their layered argumentative structure, where they skillfully connected constitutional principles, statutory interpretations, and precedential authorities to build compelling arguments. Their ability to approach each issue from multiple angles - constitutional, statutory, and policy perspectives - while maintaining logical coherence demonstrates sophisticated legal thinking. The memorials reflect thorough preparation through well-structured arguments, professional presentation, and clear, concise language. Particularly noteworthy is their strategic use of citations, balancing binding authorities with persuasive sources, and their effective integration of international materials where relevant. While there's room for strengthening counter-arguments and incorporating more comparative law analysis, the team's work reflects strong research capabilities, analytical skills, and professional presentation standards. Their approach indicates not just comprehensive legal knowledge but also the ability to synthesize complex legal principles across different branches of law, making them well-equipped for both written and oral advocacy.

Level reached

The team advanced to the **semi-finals** out of 32 competing teams and secured the 1st position in the quarter-finals. Their exceptional skills in rebuttals and the quality of their memorials received high praise from the judges.

Team Composition

K. Hema Madhavi (Oralist) – 2nd BBA.LLB
T. Eekshitha (Oralist) – 2nd BBA.LLB
JC Raghava (Researcher) – 2nd BBA.LLB



Event details

The Faculty of Law at Baba Mastnath University hosted a prestigious National Moot Court Competition 2024 on the **11th and 12th of November, 2024** at Baba Mastnath University, Rohtak, Haryana.



About Moot Court Problem

The case involves Aakash Mehra, a businessman accused of murdering his business rival, Vijay Kumar, on July 20, 2024, in Rohtak, Haryana. The two had a history of disputes spanning a decade over contracts in the shoe manufacturing business, confined to the city of Rohtak. The alleged incident occurred at XYZ Restaurant, where Vijay Kumar was dining with his partner, Jimmy. A heated argument between Aakash and Vijay allegedly escalated, leading to Aakash pulling out a gun and shooting Vijay thrice, resulting in his death.

Key evidence includes a grainy security camera recording and Jimmy's eyewitness statement, though his credibility is questioned due to a history of dishonesty. Aakash, with no prior criminal record, denies involvement, presenting GPS evidence showing he was 60 km away during the incident. Police arrested him an hour later in a nearby park without recovering the revolver or his car.

The case raises issues of the prosecution's evidence sufficiency, the defense's potential claim of private defense, the role of past disputes as motive, forensic and video evidence reliability, and Jimmy's credibility as a witness.

Approach and Strategies

The participating team in the BMU National Moot Court Competition 2024 employed a strategic and comprehensive approach to their case preparation, demonstrating a keen understanding of contemporary legal principles and evidentiary standards. Their strategy involved meticulous organization of arguments, effectively addressing critical legal questions regarding the admissibility and sufficiency of forensic evidence. By incorporating relevant statutes, such as the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, the team grounded their claims in the latest legal framework.

Moreover, the team enhanced their legal arguments by referencing prestigious scholarly works, including Paul Roberts' research on evidentiary theory. This integration of academic and statutory resources not only underscored the nuances of their case but also reflected a thorough, analytical approach to the complexities associated with circumstantial evidence and witness credibility. The team's ability to construct a compelling narrative through a combination of rigorous preparation and an understanding of legal doctrines positioned them favorably in the competitive environment, showcasing their adeptness in legal reasoning and advocacy.

Level reached

The team has excelled at the national level, outperforming over 36 teams to secure a coveted spot in the quarterfinals. Demonstrating remarkable resilience, they overcame significant challenges, including traveling far from home and navigating a moot proposition involving intricate areas of law beyond their prior expertise. Their exceptional performance continued as they triumphed in the quarterfinals to advance in to the **semifinals**. Additionally, the team achieved a prestigious victory by defeating the representatives of Delhi University and securing the **Second Place in the Best Memorial Category**. This milestone reflects their dedication, skill, and unwavering determination.




Team Composition



G. N. Bhaveshyaa (Oralist) – 3rd year BBA.LLB
U. Harshitha (Oralist) – 4th year BBA.LLB
A. Dimple Sahithi (Researcher) – 3rd year BBA.LLB

Event details

The distinguished 14th Amity International Moot Court Competition 2024, a paperless Moot held from the **17th to the 19th of November** at the prestigious Amity Law School, Noida. This globally recognized competition will focus on the theme, "Case Concerning Genocide and Territorial Sovereignty," and will witness participation from esteemed law schools across India and the world.



About Moot Court Problem

The Republic of Novorossia and the Volgaslavian Federation, neighboring countries in Eastern Europe, are embroiled in a conflict rooted in ethnic tensions, territorial disputes, and violations of sovereignty. The Silvanian region, rich in natural resources and demographically diverse, has been a hotspot of unrest due to systemic discrimination and escalating violence. In 2021, the Volgaslavian Federation annexed the Vinsk Peninsula, citing the protection of ethnic Volgaslavian populations, a move condemned by the international community. Tensions escalated in 2024 with Volgaslavia's recognition of the Silvanian People's Republic and a subsequent military intervention, leading to severe human rights abuses, including allegations of genocide against the Lunavian minority. The case, brought to the International Court of Justice, raises issues of territorial sovereignty, self-determination, and potential genocide.

Approach and Strategies

The team's approach in this case demonstrates a meticulous strategy focused on the importance of credible evidence and thorough investigations in allegations of genocide. By drawing on prestigious research, such as Gareth Evans' influential work, "The Responsibility to Protect: Ending Mass Atrocity Crimes Once and for All," the team effectively underscores the necessity of independent inquiries conducted by neutral parties to substantiate claims of genocide. Additionally, the team emphasizes the political context surrounding the allegations, suggesting that they may be motivated by political agendas rather than genuine humanitarian concerns, a viewpoint supported by scholarly discussions on humanitarian intervention. This strategic framing not only challenges the credibility of the accusations but also reinforces the team's commitment to upholding rigorous evidentiary standards in international law, showcasing their deep understanding of the complexities involved in such serious allegations.

Level reached

Although the team did not advance to the quarter-finals, their exceptional performance stood out among 62 participating teams. The judges highly commended their articulate rebuttals, rigorous research, and eloquent presentations, reflecting the team's dedication, skill, and competitive spirit.

LEX FIESTA SIDDHARTHA- 3RD NATIONAL LEGAL FEST 2024 - NATIONAL MOOT COURT COMPETITION



Team Composition

A.Ashritha (Oralist) – 3rd year BBA.LLB
G.N.Bhaveshyaa (Oralist) – 3rd year BBA.LLB
Y. Rupa Anjanasree (Researcher) – 5th year BBA.LLB

Event details

The 3rd National Legal Fest 2024, LexFiestaSiddhartha, took place from the **20th to the 22nd of December 2024** at Smt. Velagapudi Durgamba Siddhartha Law College, Kanuru, Vijayawada, Andhra Pradesh.



About Moot Court Problem

Zenith Tech, a global leader in artificial intelligence, has developed an advanced Generative AI capable of independently conceiving, designing, and executing tasks. The company claims this technology could surpass human intelligence, potentially leading to widespread unemployment but also creating new job opportunities aligned with AI. In contrast, the NGO "Tech with Human Face" has raised concerns about the catastrophic consequences of such unregulated technology, highlighting risks to national security, privacy, and public order. The NGO advocates for stringent regulation to prevent misuse by criminals and terrorists. However, the Republic of Indica argues that these technologies are still in their early stages and that a global regulatory framework should be developed, rather than imposing premature national controls. The NGO's petition for a Supreme Court intervention was dismissed on the grounds that it fell within the legislative domain. The NGO has now appealed, asserting the need for judicial intervention due to the potential dangers posed by unregulated AI and legislative inaction, citing examples of judicial lawmaking in public interest cases.

LEX FIESTA SIDDHARTHA- 3RD NATIONAL LEGAL FEST 2024 - NATIONAL MOOT COURT COMPETITION

Approach and Strategies

The team's approach in drafting the memorials reflects a comprehensive and strategic engagement with contemporary legal discourse surrounding generative artificial intelligence. By grounding their arguments in established legal principles and constitutional mandates, the team effectively navigates complex issues of fundamental rights, particularly under Articles 19 and 21 of the Constitution of India. Their strategy includes referencing prestigious legal journals such as the Harvard Law Review and the Yale Law Journal, which provide authoritative insights into the intersection of technology and law. This not only enhances the credibility of their arguments but also situates their discourse within a broader scholarly context.

Remarkably, the team drafted this memorial within a short span of just 10 days, all while managing the rigorous demands of their semester-end exams. In addition, they prepared an extensive compendium of over 350 pages covering arguments for both sides, which was highly appreciated by the judges and fellow participants in the competition. This monumental effort reflects their dedication, time management skills, and thoroughness in addressing the nuances of the case.



Furthermore, the team employs a proactive judicial perspective, drawing parallels with landmark cases discussed in journals like the Stanford Law Review, thereby illustrating the judiciary's evolving role in addressing legislative gaps. This multifaceted approach underscores the urgency of regulatory frameworks for emerging technologies and positions the team as thought leaders in the ongoing dialogue about the implications of AI on society and governance.


Award achieved

The team achieved the prestigious **Best Memorial Award**, recognizing their exceptional research and persuasive legal writing. Their ability to articulate complex arguments on generative artificial intelligence and its implications for fundamental rights distinguished them from their peers. This accolade highlights their dedication and positions them as promising advocates in the legal field.



KALEIDOSCOPE





EPILOGUE

Before we part ways, we extend our heartfelt congratulations to the participating teams, their faculty advisors, and the Moot Court Committee for their invaluable guidance and support. We are proud of their achievements and wish them continued success in their future endeavors. These milestones are significant steps toward the bright legal careers awaiting these students.

To our esteemed faculty advisors and mentors, we express our deepest gratitude for your unwavering dedication to nurturing the intellectual and professional growth of our students. Your expertise, mentorship, and passion for the law have laid a strong foundation for their success, equipping them with the skills needed to excel in the courtroom and beyond.

We also extend our sincere appreciation to our administrative authorities, whose efforts ensured the seamless organization of these competitions. Your logistical coordination and support provided a conducive environment for learning and skill-building, enabling our teams to thrive and perform their best.

Lastly, to our exceptional students, we are immensely proud of your hard work, perseverance, and commitment to excellence. Your achievements have brought honor to our law school, and as you continue on your paths, we are confident you will leave a lasting impact on the legal profession. We wish you success and fulfillment in all your future endeavors.

Once again, we thank everyone who played a role in shaping our students' journey and elevating the reputation of our law school. Together, we continue to foster an environment where excellence thrives, ensuring a legacy of brilliance for generations to come.

SPECIAL ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS:

DR. KISHORE BABU M
DEAN MHS, K L UNIVERSITY

DRAFTED BY:

MUDASSIR RASOOL WANI
ASSISTANT PROFESSOR
KLEF COLLEGE OF LAW, KL UNIVERSITY.

&

A. ASHRITHA
G.N.BHAVESHYAA
P. SIVA RAMA KRISHNA
3RD YEAR BALLB STUDENTS

UNDER THE PATRONAGE OF:

DR. K I PAVAN KUMAR
PRINCIPAL,
KLEF COLLEGE OF LAW, KL UNIVERSITY

MRS. G VIJAYA SUDHA
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT,
KLEF COLLEGE OF LAW, KL UNIVERSITY

KEY SUPPORTING TEAM:

Y. RUPA ANJANA SREE
SHAIK AKBAR, AND
SDM MEMBERS.

DESIGN AND LAYOUT:

A. ASHRITHA
P. SIVA RAMA KRISHNA



COLLEGE OF
LAW

NAAC A⁺⁺
ACCREDITED BY GRADE

RECOGNISED AS **1** UNIVERSITY
BY UGC, STATUTORY
CATEGORY BODY OF MHRD

44 YEARS OF
EDUCATIONAL
LEADERSHIP 2023

nirf 22 AMONG ALL
UNIVERSITIES